|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Norsk akkreditering / Norwegian Accreditation** | **Dok.id.: D00298** |
| **Report from witnessing of an examination (NA-S9f)** | **Rapport/Report** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Organisasjon *Organization*** |  | | |
| **Akkreditering- søker nr**  ***Accreditation no. –application no.*** |  | **Dato for bedømming /observasjon**  ***Date of assessment / witnessing*** |  |
| **Akkrediteringsstandard**  ***Accreditation standard*** | NS-EN ISO XXXX: XX | | |
| **Sertifiseringsordning**  ***Certification Scheme*** |  | | |
| **Bedømte lokaliteter**  ***Locations assessed*** |  | | |
| **Observasjonsområder**  ***Witnessed areas*** |  | | |
| **Ledende bedømmer**  ***Lead assessor*** |  | | |
| **Teknisk(e) bedømmer(e) / ekspert(er) – fagområde**  ***Technical assessor(s)/expert(s) – technical area*** |  | | |

1. **General information**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of the certification body** |  | **Accreditation Application no** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Examinator witnessed:** |  |
| **Sensor witnessed:** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Examination witnessed:** |  |
| Place for examination: |  |
| Business sector: |  |
| Number of candidates: |  |
| Examination standard: |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Witnessing/examination** |  |
| Date and duration of the examination: |  |
| Date and duration of NA’s witnessing: |  |
| Accreditation standard: |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Witnessing assessor(s)/technical expert(s):** |  |

**Impressions of planning**

**1.1 Did the questions include all requirements in the normative document? (initial examination/renewal)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Satisfactory** | **Yes** |  | **No** |  |

**1.2 Were the contents in the question satisfactory?**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Satisfactory** | **Yes** |  | **No** |  |

**1.3 Was the examinator well prepared?**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Satisfactory** | **Yes** |  | **No** |  |

**1.4 Was the team sufficient for performing satisfactory technical examination?**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Satisfactory** | **Yes** |  | **No** |  |

**1.5 Have checklists been used?**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Satisfactory** | **Yes** |  | **No** |  |

**1.6 Was the examinator’s technical competence sufficient?**

**Briefingmeeting**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Satisfactory** | **Yes** |  | **No** |  |

**Conclusion regarding recommendation about accreditation or maintenance about existing accreditation(s),** (perhaps with reservations if not all activities have been performed or nonconformities have been issued):

**Time limit for corrective actions:**

**Recommendation regarding suspension** (if relevant)**:**

**Recommendation not to grant application for extension of scope** (if relevant)**:**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date Signature, assessor/expert

**Amendments** (delete what is not relevant)

1. **Nonconformity reports (NA-S22)**

Number of very serious nonconformities:

Number of essential nonconformities:

The organization has the right to complaint against actual errors in the report. A complaint must be presented within 3 weeks after this report has been sent from NA.